

## Options Appraisal Matrix

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
<b>1. Brief description of option</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Replacement of the WW (&amp;EW) chillers and cooling towers to a more modern system.</li> <li>Continue to meet current demands</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consolidation of all chiller equipment and services into one plant centre serving the NW, EW &amp; WW.</li> <li>Location of plant centre TBC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stand down the design team to consider the parallel workstreams currently underway</li> <li>Assess the impact of the future masterplan options for the Guildhall.</li> <li>Confirm and update the project brief incorporating the latest information and project requirements to ensure correct solution.</li> <li>Reengage the design team once this is known and proceed through the GW process.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Replacement of WW (&amp;EW) chillers to a more modern system</li> <li>Refurbishment of the existing cooling towers</li> <li>Continue to meet current demands.</li> </ul>
<b>2. Scope and exclusions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NW chillers remain in place and continue to serve the NW areas. No</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Water-cooled vs Air-cooled TBC following further analysis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project to re-enter into the capital building cycle with confirmed and consolidated brief.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review and refurbish the existing cooling towers to meet demands of new</li> </ul>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
	update to these assets.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Location of plant centre TBC.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revisit budgets to confirm allocation depending on confirmed scope.</li> </ul>	<p>chiller system. No renewal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NW chillers remain in place and continue to serve the NW areas. No update to these assets.</li> </ul>
<b>Project Planning</b>				
<b>3. Programme and key dates</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GW 4 - Nov 21</li> <li>GW4C – March 22</li> <li>GW5 – Sept 22</li> </ul>	Same as option 1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Revise Brief and seek Chief Officer sign off July 21.</li> <li>Capital Bidding Cycle – August 21 – January 22.</li> <li>Following approval, Gateway 2 March 22; Outlined Options - Gateway 3 July 22.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Same as option 1</li> </ul>
<b>4. Risk implications</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NW chillers are approaching end of life. If they are not upgraded as part of these works then there will be further works in the short/medium-term.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project budget insufficient</li> <li>Guildhall Masterplan could include the demolition and redevelopment of the Guildhall which would render the project output obsolete.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>EW &amp; WW chillers are currently at end of life and are costing c. 30-50k p.a. to maintain. Risk of failure in the coming years could lead to expensive interim solutions or</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk that the refurbished cooling towers lack efficiency. Risk of not meeting decarbonisation goals</li> <li>Risk of failure of existing chillers</li> </ul>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
	<p>No realisation of efficiencies of scope/scale.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guildhall Masterplan could include the demolition and redevelopment of the Guildhall which would render the project output obsolete.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project programme potentially longer which would put pressure on the EW &amp; WW chillers which are at end of life.</li> </ul>	<p>failure to meet internal conditions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Programme delays to the chiller replacement project</li> <li>• Potential additional design team fees for re-engagement and revisiting previously completed work.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Guildhall Masterplan could include the demolition and redevelopment of the Guildhall which would render the project output obsolete.</li> <li>• NW chillers are approaching end of life. If they are not upgraded as part of these works then there will be further works in the short/medium-term. No realisation of efficiencies of scope/scale.</li> </ul>
<b>5. Stakeholders and consultees</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chamberlains,</li> <li>• Corporate Property,</li> <li>• Town Clerks,</li> <li>• City Surveyors, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Energy Team;</li> <li>○ PPG;</li> <li>○ FM &amp; R&amp;M</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as option 1</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as option 1 but also including Citigen</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Same as option 1</li> </ul>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Departments occupying or using the Guildhall.</i></li> </ul>			
<b>6. Benefits of option</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Project budget approved and acceptable CAPEX of the 2 replacement options (compared to option 2)</i></li> <li>• <i>Able to quickly replace the EW and WW chillers to bring up to standard and meet the requirements of the Guildhall complex.</i></li> <li>• <i>Upgrade to the EW/WW chillers would provide a more efficient water-cooled system</i></li> <li>• <i>No spatial issues as would sit within the same plant areas.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The most energy efficient solution being proposed. Able to upgrade all 'inefficient' assets to modern standard. This would meet the Citys decarbonisation aspirations.</i></li> <li>• <i>Reduced OPEX outlay as all systems would be upgraded.</i></li> <li>• <i>Futureproofing the cooling requirements of the Guildhall complex, bringing the systems up to standard and securing a c. 20 year life.</i></li> <li>• <i>New system would not be reliant on the soon to be redundant refrigerant</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Will allow the City to completely review and confirm requirements and project brief in the context of wider workstreams and aspirations.</i></li> <li>• <i>No redundant expenditure pursuing an upgrade to the existing systems in the event they become obsolete.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Project budget approved and lowest CAPEX of the 3 replacement options.</i></li> <li>• <i>Able to quickly replace the EW and WW chillers to bring up to standard and meet the requirements of the Guildhall complex.</i></li> <li>• <i>Upgrade to the EW/WW chillers would provide a more efficient water-cooled system</i></li> <li>• <i>No spatial issues as would sit within the same plant areas.</i></li> <li>• <i>Further reduction in cost compared to option 1.</i></li> </ul>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
<b>7. Disbenefits of option</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not address the NW chillers which are approaching end of life. Both in terms of efficiency and ongoing OPEX to keep the NW chillers running.</li> <li>Will not realise the maximum efficiencies and decarbonisation targets.</li> <li>NW chillers would be reliant on the soon to be redundant refrigerant. Would likely see an uplift in ongoing regular maintenance.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project budget will need to be reviewed and potentially increased to meet requirements. Most CAPEX outlay.</li> <li>Spatial requirements are most intensive to accommodate the full footprint of the plant centre.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant delay to programme</li> <li>Potential for faults with EW &amp; WW chiller systems if not addressed.</li> <li>Abortive design team fees.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not address the NW chillers which are approaching end of life. Both in terms of efficiency and ongoing OPEX to keep the NW chillers running.</li> <li>Will not realise the maximum efficiencies and decarbonisation targets.</li> <li>NW chillers would be reliant on the soon to be redundant refrigerant. Would likely see an uplift in ongoing regular maintenance.</li> <li>Does not address cooling towers which are out of date. Only refurbishment.</li> </ul>
<b>Resource Implications</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
<b>8. Total estimated cost</b>	<i>Total estimated cost is £3,918,000 (excluding £428,000 of CRP)</i>	<b>Option 2a</b> (Air cooled) <i>Total estimated cost £3,538,000 (excluding £895,000 of CRP)</i> <b>Option 2b</b> (water cooled) <i>£6,780,000 (excluding £895,000 of CRP)</i>	<i>Unknown at this stage and once brief has been revised and approved then initial costing can be put forward.</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD) once condition of cooling towers have been established.</i>
<b>9. Funding strategy</b>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>  <i>The current funding source of the approved 3m is split across three funds:</i> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. City Fund £1.860m;</li> <li>2. City Cash £0.990m,</li> <li>3. BHE £0.150m)</li> </ol>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>
<b>10. Investment appraisal</b>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>
<b>11. Estimated capital value/return</b>	<i>N/A at this point</i>	<i>N/A at this point</i>	<i>N/A at this point</i>	<i>N/A at this point</i>
<b>12. Ongoing revenue implications</b>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
<b>13. Affordability</b>	<i>This option is overbudget by £1,532,380</i>	<i>This option is overbudget between £1,274,672 (Option 1) or £4,516,380 (Option 2)</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>This option is overbudget by £101,380</i>
<b>14. Legal implications</b>	<i>None</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>None</i>	<i>None</i>
<b>15. Corporate property implications</b>	<i>Consolidated site chiller options would consider early replacement of other site chiller plant. Chiller plant locations will need to be considered against site plans.</i>	<i>Consolidated site chiller options would consider early replacement of other site chiller plant. Chiller plant locations will need to be considered against site plans.</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>Cooling tower would need to be replaced as a separate project.</i>
<b>16. Traffic implications</b>	<i>Plant installation is likely to require a partial short-term road closure of either Basinghall Street and/or Aldermanbury.</i>	<i>Plant installation is likely to require a partial short-term road closure of either Basinghall Street and/or Aldermanbury.</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>Plant installation is likely to require a partial short-term road closure of either Basinghall Street and/or Aldermanbury.</i>
<b>17. Sustainability and energy implications</b>	<i>New water-cooled chillers to serve the WW &amp; EW would be more energy efficient than the existing chillers, but relatively inefficient air-cooled chillers serving the NW would be retained.</i>	<i>A centralised water-cooled chiller solution is would be the most efficient option overall, but a centralised air-cooled chiller solution would also perform well as a result of replacing the existing inefficient NW chillers.</i>	<i>To be determined (TBD)</i>	<i>As with Option 1, new water-cooled chillers to serve the WW &amp; EW would be more energy efficient than the existing chillers, but relatively inefficient air-cooled chillers serving the NW would be retained. In comparison to</i>

<b>Option Summary</b>	<b>Option 1 (West Wing Like-for- Like replacement)</b>	<b>Option 2 (Centralised Plant)</b>	<b>Option 3 (Pause the project and revise the project brief)</b>	<b>Option 4 (West Wing Like-for-like replacement &amp; cooling tower refurb)</b>
				<i>Option 1 there would be some embodied energy savings as a result of refurbishing rather than replacing the cooling towers.</i>
<b>18. IS implications</b>	<i>None.</i>	<i>Same as option 1</i>	<i>None.</i>	<i>None.</i>
<b>19. Equality Impact Assessment</b>	<i>An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken.</i>	An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken.	An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken.	An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken.
<b>20. Data Protection Impact Assessment</b>	<i>The risk to personal data is less than high or non-applicable and a data protection impact assessment will not be undertaken.</i>	The risk to personal data is less than high or non-applicable and a data protection impact assessment will not be undertaken.	The risk to personal data is less than high or non-applicable and a data protection impact assessment will not be undertaken.	The risk to personal data is less than high or non-applicable and a data protection impact assessment will not be undertaken.
<b>21. Recommendation</b>	<i>Not recommended</i>	<i>Recommended</i>	<i>Not recommended</i>	<i>Not recommended</i>